

October 8, 2002

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission (FCC)
445 12th Street SW, TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket # 02-311- Biennial Review 2002

As a person with a severe hearing loss, I wish to go on record opposing any revisions or repeal of the rules listed below. I find it inexcusable that the FCC would even consider revision or repeal of these significant rules. Historically the marketplace alone has not provided such access without regulations from government entities such as yours. Regardless of the fact this is a procedural review, please be cautious of any alteration in these crucial rules.

Part 1 – Practice and Procedure – Establishes general practice requirements. (Subpart E – Informal Complaints.) [Informal Complaint mechanisms are critical to allow consumers who are not lawyers nor experienced in formal protocol to submit comments.]

Part 6 – Access to Telecommunications Service, Telecommunications Equipment and Customer Premises Equipment by Persons with Disabilities – Obligations of manufacturers and service providers concerning accessibility to telecommunications service and equipment. [Not only should these rules be left intact, but better enforcement is necessary.]

Part 7 – Access to Voicemail and Interactive Menu Services and Equipment by People with Disabilities – Obligations of providers of voicemail and interactive menu services as well as manufacturers of telecommunications equipment which performs a voicemail or interactive menu function. [This is crucial in our day of automated access.]

Part 64 – Miscellaneous Rules Relating to Common Carriers –Subpart F (Telecommunications Relay Services). [These rules should be strengthen to bring us closer to functional equivalence. TRS provisions are a basic right under Title IV of the Americans with Disabilities Act.]

Part 68 – Connection of Terminal Equipment to the Telephone Network –Concerning the rules in this part relating to hearing aid compatibility. [Considering the enormous number of individuals in America that wear hearing aids, it is inappropriate to remove this provision. It should be expanded to cover wireless access.]

In conclusion, I respectfully request that the FCC leave the disability related telecommunications / technology regulations completely intact. They have been most valuable to my daily activities and are necessary to protect my rights and opportunity to access the telecommunications network.

Sincerely,

James Forstall